## DATA REPORT

### **Open Access**

# High-resolution melting analysis coupled with next-generation sequencing as a simple tool for the identification of a novel somatic BRCA2 variant: a case report

Alessandra Costella<sup>1</sup>, Rossella De Leo<sup>2</sup>, Donatella Guarino<sup>1</sup>, Marco D'Indinosante<sup>2</sup>, Paola Concolino<sup>1,3</sup>, Giorgia Mazzuccato<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Urbani<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Giovanni Scambia<sup>2</sup>, Ettore Capoluongo<sup>3,5</sup>, Anna Fagotti<sup>2</sup> and Angelo Minucci<sup>1,3</sup>

#### Abstract

In a 72-year-old woman with no associated personal or family history of breast and/or ovarian cancers, we identified a novel somatic pathogenic *BRCA2* variant (*c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA*, p.Lys6Asnfs\*4) using next-generation sequencing (NGS). The variant allele frequency (VAF) was 16%, and Sanger sequencing was unable to identify this variant. Adopting a high-resolution melting analysis strategy coupled with NGS, we successfully highlighted the presence of the *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele.

Keywords: High-resolution melting analysis; next-generation sequencing; novel somatic BRCA2 variant

Testing *BRCA1/2* (*BRCA*) genes on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) or fresh tissue (FT) samples permits the simultaneous assessment of both somatic and germline variants using an easily-accessible material that is routinely available in any pathology laboratory worldwide. FFPE and FT samples are histologically heterogeneous<sup>1</sup>, while tumor-specific DNA contains varying proportions of contaminating DNA from normal cells.

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) methods have the potential to detect variants at low admixture levels, offering a potential solution to this challenging type of analysis<sup>2</sup>. Because of the poor quality of extracted DNA and to a low sequencing signal, variants in DNA from FFPE and FT sources are difficult to confirm using Sanger

<sup>1</sup>Area Diagnostica di Laboratorio IRCCS, Rome, Italy

© The Author(s) 2018

sequencing. Furthermore, these types of sources cannot be re-analyzed by NGS because of the small amount of DNA. To avoid considering these variants to be PCR artefacts, it is highly recommended to use alternative methodologies.

In this context, we used high-resolution melting analysis (HRMA) as a simple, cost-effective, rapid and sensitive method to confirm a novel somatic *BRCA2* variant (*c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA*, p.Lys6Asnfs\*4) that was previously identified by NGS in a patient with high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC).

The present study involved a 72-year-old woman who presented to an oncologist with a complex right ovarian mass and elevated CA-125 level. Her gynecological history was negative. A transvaginal and transabdominal ultrasound examination revealed a multilocular solid cyst with >10 locules, papillary projections, and irregular surface with a Color Score of 4. Computed tomography of her abdomen and pelvis showed a 10 × 5 cm right ovarian mass and diffuse peritoneal enhancement, consistent with

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Correspondence: Angelo Minucci (angelo.minucci@virgilio.it) (angelo.minucci@policlinicogemelli.it)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Rome, Italy

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

peritoneal carcinomatosis. Ovarian cancer was suspected, and the patient consented to complete surgical staging. She underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, partial pelvic peritonectomy, and radical omentectomy 2 months after her initial presentation. The surgery was largely uncomplicated, with no significant hemostasis or coagulation issues, and optimal cytoreduction was achieved. Surgery was followed by six cycles of chemotherapy with paclitaxel and carboplatin. Written informed consent was obtained to allow *BRCA* testing to be performed after the pathological diagnosis of HGSOC was made.

DNA was extracted from FT HGSOC sections from areas with a minimum neoplastic cellularity of 70% using the MagCore Genomic DNATissue Kit by MagCore HF16 Plus (Diatech Lab Line, Jesi, Italy). The DNA concentration and quality were determined using a Qubit dsDNA HS assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). *BRCA* analysis was performed using the Devyser BRCA kit (Devyser, Hägersten, Sweden). Sequencing reactions were carried out on the MiSeq instrument (Illumina, CA, USA). NGS data were processed using the Amplicon Suite software (SmartSeq s.r.l., Novara, Italy) with the parameters of aligning reads to the HG19 reference genome and to generate run metrics, including the depth of sequencing, total read count, and quality. In addition, *BRCA* large genomic rearrangements were also investigated as previously reported<sup>3,4</sup>.

Sanger sequencing and PCR-HRMA were performed on an ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 480 Real-Time PCR System (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), respectively.

The WT allele is longer by 11 nucleotides compared to *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele; this DNA size difference allows the allele separation by capillary

C.18_28delAGAGAGGCCAA													
AAAAA	Ma		W	Malaalay	waaad	sasAsAMasAsA	Malaal	MAA	Maama	₿	MAAAAA	MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM	
id	cla	iss qua	d rep	port type	RefSeq ID	NT variant	AA variant	#Samples	dbSNP ID	VF%	▼ gene		
chr17;41244435;T;C	0	н		s_CDS	NM 007294	c.31134>G	p.Glu1038Gly	1	rs16941	99.19	BRCA1		
chr13:32915005:G:C	0	н		s_CDS	NM_000059	c.6513G>C	p.Val2171=	2	rs206076,	99.01	BRCA2		
chr17:41244000:T:C	0	н		s_CDS	NM_007294	c.3548A>G	p.Lys1183Arg	1	rs16942,	99.0	BRCA1		
chr17:41245466:G:A	0	н		s_CDS	NM_007294	c.2082C>T	p.Ser694=	1	rs1799949,	98.69	BRCA1		
chr17:41249364:A:-	0	н		d_INTRON	NM_007294	c.548-58_548-58delT	-	1	rs8176144, rs27390	98.6	BRCA1		
chr13:32912299:T:C	0	н		s_CDS	NM_000059	c.3807T>C	p.Val1269=	0	rs543304,	55.04	BRCA2		
chr13:32906729:A:C	0	н		s_CDS	NM_000059	c.1114A>C	p.Asn372His	1	*_rs879255323, rs1	45.38	BRCA2	¥	
chr13:32907340:11-	0			d INTRON	NM_000059	c.1909+22_1909+220ell		2	rs2/01/4810, rs38/	19.62	BRCA2		
chr13:32907545:TT:	0	н		d_INTRON	NM_000059	c.1909+21_1909+22deITT		2	rs772794393,	17.21	BRCA2		
chr13:32890615:AGAGAGG	CCAA 0	н		d_CDS_FS	NM_000059	c.18_28delAGAGAGGCCAA	p.Lys6Asnfs4	0		16.79	BRCA2		
chr13:32910612:A:G	0	н		s_CDS	NM_000059	c.2120A>G	p.Asp707Gly	2		11.3	BRCA2		
chr17:41242939:CA:	0	н		d_INTRON	NM_007294	c.4185+21_4185+22delTG	-	2	rs273900723,	10.8	BRCA1		
chr13:32893207:-:T	0	н		i_INTRON	NM_000059	c.68-6_68-7dupT		2	rs781354453, rs397	7.24	BRCA2		
chr17:41276153:AT:	0	н		d_INTRON	<ul> <li>NM_007294</li> <li>NM_000050</li> </ul>	c18-2218-23delAT	- - Th: 20221 a: 4:20	2	rs564961882,	6.96	BRCA1		
chr17:41245587:T:-	0	н		d CDS FS	<ul> <li>NM 007294</li> </ul>	c.1961 1961delA	p.Lvs654Serfs47	2	rs80357522	6.26	BRCA1		
chr13:32968816:T:-	0	н		d_INTRON	NM_000059	c.9257-10_9257-10delT	-	2	*_rs276174921, rs2	6.06	BRCA2		
chr13:32907428:A:-	0	н		d_CDS_FS	NM_000059	c.1813_1813delA	p.lle605Tyrfs9	2	rs80359307, rs8035	5.62	BRCA2		
chr17:41276152::AT	0	н		I_INTRON	NM_007294	c18-2018-21insAT	-	2	rs273898667,	5.59	BRCA1		
chr13:32907546:-:T	0	н		i_INTRON	NM_000059	c.1909+23_1909+24dupT	-	2	rs587780560, rs864	5.03	BRCA2		
chr13:32954310:T:-	0	н		d_INTRON	NM_000059	c.9256+28_9256+28delT	-	2	rs751714705,	4.63	BRCA2		
Variant Summany				2 1010 10	ALC INTERA	2 total at their coast of				7.16	20121		
Valiant Summary			1.04										
Chr13:32890615:AGA	o ni	gn/low qu	al: 0/1	Var. Distrib.									
Read Count For	ward Re	verse	Total	Class: 0 Up	date Class db	SNPs							
Nt. Depth 4	238 4	136	8374	confirm as true L	ow Quality V Fu	inctional predictors and databases:							
Mean quality	38	37	37										
	T												
	1												
	'												
Fig. 1 a Sa and the se for BRCA2	nger quen exon	sequ cing 2 an	resu nplif	ing was p ilts were ication a	erforme analyzec nd seque	d using the Big I using SeqScap encing were as	Dye Term be softwa 5 follows:	inator re ver forwa	v3.1 Cycl sion 2.5 (A rd (F) 5'-A	e Se \ppli \GG/	quencin ied Biosy AATATC	ig kit (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) ystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The primers used GTAGGTAAAAATG-3' and reverse (R) 5'-	
CIGGATITATACACACATAAGG-3'. Because of the low allele frequency (16%), the presence of the $c.18_{28}$ and $c.$													
signal that is indistinguishable from background noise. <b>b</b> The results of the patient's genome obtained by NGS of the BRCA genes are reported; the													
c 18 28del	c.18 28delAGAGAGGCCAA variant is highlighted (blue). Variant calling and the sequencing depth and guality were obtained using Amplicon Suite												
and the second													
soltware (S	software (smartseq s.r.i., novara, italy), as highlighted by the arrow. C An extract of the total sequences carrying the c.18_28delAGAGAGGCCAA allele in												
shown (the	e dele	etion	is ir	ndicated I	by the d	otted line). The	different	color	s (blue an	d gr	ay) shov	$\nu$ the direction of sequencing (paired-end sequencing)	



the results because of this method's lower resolution, amplification of the somatic mutated DNA gives two PCR products (**b**): the 218-bp peak of the WT allele and 207-bp peak corresponding to the *BRCA2 c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele compared to the size marker (**a**). Normalized and shifted melting curves (**c**) and normalized and temperature-shifted difference plots (**d**) of the *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele are shown. Melting profile evaluation of the patient shows a specific melting behavior, as observed in both the normalized and the temperature-shifted and difference plots compared to the FT samples (n = 10) that do not carry this variant. Each experiment is reported in duplicate. The same forward primer used for sequencing was used for PCR-HRMA, while the PCR-HRMA-reverse primer was 5'-TCATTAGGGAGATACATATGGA-3'. The PCR-HRMA primers were designed using Primer3 software (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3) and certified as high molecular-quality products via HPLC purification (Eurofin MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany)

electrophoresis<sup>5</sup>. For this reason, we analyzed the PCR products on an Experion<sup>™</sup> Automated Electrophoresis System (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions<sup>5</sup>

*BRCA* testing obtained by NGS and multiplex ligationdependent probe amplification did not reveal any known pathogenic variants (PVs). However, the patient carried a *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGGCCAA* variant in exon 2 of the *BRCA2* gene. The nomenclature of the variant is based on the *BRCA2* cDNA sequence (NCBI Reference Sequence: NM\_000059.3; *GRCh37*) according to the recommendations of the Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS, http://www.hgvs.org/). The average NGS read depth for the sample was ~10,000×, with a minimum and maximum depth of 2600× and 32,400×, respectively. The  $c.18\_28$  delAGAGAGGCCAA allele showed a read depth of ~1400× on a total read count of 8700, resulting in a VAF of 16%.

Sanger sequencing, which was used to confirm the presence of the *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele, did not reveal this allele (Fig. 1). By contrast, high-resolution melting profiles for the patient showed a specific melting behavior compared to the FT samples (n = 10) that did not carry the *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele.

Finally, capillary electrophoresis also confirmed the presence of the *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* allele, although it was difficult to discriminate between the two alleles (Fig. 2).

The *c.18\_28delAGAGAGGCCAA* variant was considered to be a novel variant because it was absent from

300 FT samples as well as two main variant databases: Clin Var (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and Cosmic (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic). This allele was also considered to be pathogenic because of its deleterious impact on the BRCA2 protein sequence.

With the implementation of treatment-focused *BRCA* testing on patients with somatic *BRCA* PVs<sup>6</sup>, there is an increasing clinical need for routine *BRCA* screening on DNA from FFPE and FT tumor samples. In this context, it is recommended to perform cost-effective, complete, and accurate *BRCA* gene sequencing with a sensitivity, throughput, and sample input that cannot be achieved by Sanger sequencing. In fact, Sanger approaches are not fit for detecting low VAFs, leading researchers to confuse these variants with PCR artefacts, which are indistinguishable from the background sequencing noise, and thus consider them false.

For these reasons, many diagnostic laboratories have adopted NGS technology, which offers the potential for fast, cost-efficient, and comprehensive sequencing-based testing of tumor tissue, enabling the identification of somatic *BRCA* variants.

HRMA represents a high-throughput, rapid, and inexpensive screening test for germline variants<sup>7,8</sup>, and because of its high sensitivity, it has also proven to be effective at identifying somatic variants<sup>9</sup>.

The aim of this study was to report a combined approach with NGS and HRMA to identify and confirm a novel somatic *BRCA2* variant. NGS data demonstrated a VAF of 16%, and the depth and quality of the sequencing led us to suspect that this variant was true. Using HRMA as a confirmatory test allowed us to draw up the final clinical report for this patient.

We suggest that this integrated approach can be used in diagnostic settings to improve the molecular assessment of the somatic *BRCA* status. In fact, our study provides details regarding a rapid and reliable confirmatory assay for low allele-frequency somatic *BRCA* variants that would otherwise be difficult to confirm with other molecular methods.

Best-practice guidelines for the analysis of FFPE and FT samples<sup>10</sup> recommend that significant NGS findings must be replicated to ensure the reliability of the results before adopting therapeutic decisions in a clinical context.

This study demonstrates that a combined approach with NGS and HRMA allows for the reliable detection of somatic alterations affecting *BRCA* genes in FT samples, improving clinical decision-making for the treatment of HGSOC patients.

Finally, epithelial ovarian cancer treatment, which has historically been based on surgery and platinum doublet chemotherapy, is associated with a high risk of relapse and a poor prognosis for recurrent disease. In this landscape, molecular diagnosis of this somatic pathogenic *BRCA2* variant made our patient eligible for therapeutic treatments based on poly ADP ribose polymerase, which is a valuable option with promising activity in recurrent ovarian cancer patients and at the different stages of this disease.

#### **HGV Database**

The relevant data from this Data Report are hosted at the Human Genome Variation Database at https://doi. org/10.6084/m9.figshare.hgv.1946

#### Acknowledgements

This study was sponsored by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Area Diagnostica di Laboratorio IRCCS, Rome, Italy. <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Rome, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Biochemistry and Clinical Biochemistry, Teaching and Research Hospital "Agostino Gemelli" Foundation, Rome, Italy. <sup>4</sup>Proteomics and Metabolomics Unit, IRCCS-Santa Lucia Foundation, Rome, Italy. <sup>5</sup>Laboratory of Clinical Pathology and Advanced Molecular Diagnostics, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata -IRCCS, Rome, Italy

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Received: 27 February 2018 Revised: 13 March 2018 Accepted: 30 March 2018

Published online: 08 June 2018

#### References

- Nik-Zainal, S. et al. Breast Cancer Working Group of the International Cancer Genome Consortium: the life history of 21 breast cancers. *Cell* **149**, 994–1007 (2012).
- Sims, D., Sudbery, I., Ilott, N. E., Hegar, A. & Ponting, C. P. Sequencing depth and coverage: key considerations in genomic analyses. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 15, 121–132 (2014).
- Minucci, A. et al. Clinical impact on ovarian cancer patients of massive parallel sequencing for BRCA mutation detection: the experience at Gemelli hospital and a literature review. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 15, 1383–1403 (2015).
- Concolino, P. et al. Advanced tools for BRCA1/2 mutational screening: comparison between two methods for large genomic rearrangements (LGRs) detection. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* **52**, 1119–1127 (2014).
- Minucci, A. et al. Identification of RFLP G6PD mutations by using microcapillary electrophoretic chips (Experion). J. Sep. Sci. 31, 2694–2700 (2008).
- Kaufman, B. et al. Olaparib monotherapy in patients with advanced cancer and a germline BRCA1/2 mutation. J. Clin. Oncol. 33, 244–245 (2015).
- Minucci, A., Concolino, P., Giardina, B., Zuppi, C. & Capoluongo, E. Rapid UGT1A1 (TA)(n) genotyping by high resolution melting curve analysis for Gilbert's syndrome diagnosis. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **411**, 246–249 (2010).
- Minucci, A. et al. High resolution melting analysis is very useful to identify BRCA1 c.4964\_4982del19 (rs80359876) founder calabrian pathogenic variant on peripheral blood and buccal swab DNA. *Mol. Diagn. Ther.* 21, 217–223 (2017).
- Karbalaie Niya, M. H. et al. Sensitive high-resolution melting analysis for screening of KRAS and BRAF mutations in iranian human metastatic colorectal cancers. Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev. 17, 5147–5152 (2016).
- Cree, I. A. et al. Guidance for laboratories performing molecular pathology for cancer patients. J. Clin. Pathol. 67, 923–931 (2014).